## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

S ECI 2019 03362, S ECI 2019 03363, S ECI 2019 03365 S ECI 2019 03366, S ECI 2019 03399

SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA

COMMON LAW DIVISION

<u>MELBOURNE</u>

THURSDAY 8 AUGUST 2019

BEFORE JUDICIAL REGISTRAR CLAYTON

KORNUCOPIA PTY LTD (ACN 615 630 316)

V

HOME SWEET HOME PTY LTD (ACN 617 095 075)

KORNUCOPIA PTY LTD (ACN 615 630 316)

V

FAUSTINA WIRWAN

KORNUCOPIA PTY LTD (ACN 615 630 316)

V

SEBASTIAN HWANG

KORNUCOPIA PTY LTD (ACN 615 630 316)

V

CHANCY ANGGREK and OTHERS

KORNUCOPIA PTY LTD

V

ZHANGZHEN PAN

## APPLICATION

 ${\tt MR}$  N. RAGHAVAN appeared on behalf of the Appellants in all proceedings.

DR A. TRICHARDT appeared on behalf of the Respondents in all proceedings.

Transcribed from the official recording by AUSCRIPT AUSTRALASIA PTY LIMITED

Tel: 03 9672 5601 | Email: vic.clientservices@auscript.com.au

Web: auscript.com

- 1 ©The Crown in right of the State of Victoria.
- 2 This work is copyright. No part of it may in any form or by
- 3 any means (electronic, mechanical, microcopying, photocopying,
- 4 recording or otherwise) be reproduced, stored in a retrieval
- 5 system or transmitted without prior written permission of the
- 6 Authorised Officer.
- 7 DR TRICHARDT: Judicial Registrar, I appear for the respondents
- 8 in all five matters.
- 9 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes, thank you, Mr Trichardt.
- 10 MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, I appear on behalf of the
- 11 appellant - -
- 12 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 13 MR RAGHAVAN: - for all five matters.
- 14 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Thank you. And can you just help me with
- 15 how to pronounce your name?
- 16 MR RAGHAVAN: Mr Raghavan.
- 17 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Rag - -
- 18 MR RAGHAVAN: Raghavan.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Raghavan. Thank you, Mr Raghavan.
- 20 MR RAGHAVAN: Thank you. Yes, I'll just let my associate, for
- 21 the transcript, formally call the matters.
- 22 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 23 ASSOCIATE: So calling the matters of Kornucopia Pty Ltd v Home
- Sweet Home Pty Ltd, Kornucopia Pty Ltd v Wirawan,
- 25 Kornucopia Pty Ltd v Hwang, Kornucopia Pty Ltd v Anggrek
- 26 & Ors, and Kornucopia Pty Ltd v Pan.
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. All right. Now, this is the
- 28 recommencement of the adjourned applications that were
- before me yesterday for a stay. Mr Trichardt, have you
- now had an opportunity to peruse the material - -
- 31 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 32 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - that hadn't been - -
- 33 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - served on you - -
- 2 DR TRICHARDT: Yes, indeed.
- 3 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - after yesterday? Yes.
- 4 DR TRICHARDT: Registrar, I have prepared an outline of
- 5 submissions. I beg to hand a copy to you and I've given
- to my learned friends a copy.
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Thank you.
- 8 DR TRICHARDT: I do not intend to read everything there, but I
- 9 will highlight the various things, and I would submit it
- 10 will be clear that there is the court should actually
- 11 refuse a stay, but in the event of the court finding
- that, regardless of the submissions that I will make, a
- stay should be made in each of the matters, that it
- should be conditional and, amongst other things, the
- 15 conditions should be that the outstanding arrears be
- paid, and also that provision be made for the payment or
- to secure the future payments until the matter has been
- dealt with either as a result of leave to appeal or the
- actual appeal or if they're on the same day that day.
- Now, the first thing I make in paragraph 1 is that
- 21 this outline is filed in respect of all five matters, and
- 22 then in paragraphs 2 and 3, I just highlight some of the
- factual background issues, which I submit, Registrar, is
- very important in considering this application.
- The first is there was a notice of appeal and there
- 26 was an affidavit, dated 5 August, was filed in support
- of each of the five notices of appeal, but those
- affidavits were all out of time because, if we look at
- the Supreme Court (Miscellaneous Civil Proceedings)
- Rules, Chapter 2, Rule 4.07, each of the affidavits had
- 31 to be filed within seven days after the notice of appeal.

- 1 The notice of appeal in all the matters were filed on
- 2 24 July. So that would've meant by 31 August, and it was
- 3 only filed - -

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 4 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: 31 July.
- 5 DR TRICHARDT: Yes, July. I beg your pardon. And it was only
- filed on 5 August. Now, apart from that, as I've pointed
- out in paragraph 2(c), the affidavits do not comply with
- 8 the requirements set out in Rule 4.07.

So I know that is not directly relevant to the
application before you today, but it is relevant to the
effect that even after all of this time, and after all of
the attempts made by the appellant, it does not actually
have a proper application for leave to appeal before this
court, and there's also not any application seeking an
extension of time within which to file the affidavit,

which one would've expected the appellants to do.

Now, the second point is that the summonses which are all before you today, they are all dated 6 August, yesterday - we did receive unstamped, unfiled copies, but I accept they were filed, so we're not taking that point - in respect of the seeking a stay of the warrant of possession granted by VCAT in respect of all of the judgments it handed down on 16 July.

Now, importantly, as I've pointed out in paragraph 3, the appellant did not file any affidavit in support of the summonses for the stay of the warrants and, in other words, there is no evidence in any of those matters before the court in support of the application for a stay, and I raised that specifically yesterday, and Mr Preston said, 'No, there's no affidavit and we haven't seen one'.

Now, moreover, Rule 4.08 of Chapter 2 of the rules, require an appellant, like the current appellant, to file within seven days a summons seeking directions, an extension of time or for leave to appeal as required by the rules. That has not happened.

There's no summons seeking directions. There's no application seeking extension of time or for the leave to appeal as required by the rules, because - that is important because, otherwise, the matter cannot be progressed. So, again, that's a significant failure on the part of the appellant which is relevant to the applications before you today.

Now, I then go, on paragraph 4 and following, to just deal with the stay application principles. Now, yesterday, you were also referred to the decision of Justice Beach in Quick v Lam-Ly [2019] VSCA, p.111 and I will refer to that as the 'Quick decision', and, importantly, His Honour deals with it extensively and - first of all, I should make it clear. I'm not saying the facts are identical, but I submit the principles are applicable to this application.

And first is in paragraph 11, and I've quoted only the relevant sentence in my outline, but I do not want to stand accused that I didn't refer to the full paragraph. It's just that that's the sentence that's really relevant for today, but, in any event, the full paragraph says:
'Other than perhaps to preserve the status quo pending the hearing of the application for leave to appeal in this court, the basis for the making of the order by VCAT on 26 April 2019 is not entirely clear.

'Disturbingly, the order was made without notice to

Lam-Ly. Further, it was made without Mr Quick' - and
that's the important sentence - 'Further, it was made
without Mr Quick being required to give any undertaking
as to damages or to at least secure the amount of rent
then outstanding and rent payable pending the
determination of the matter in this court', and then the
paragraph continues.

Now, yesterday, Mr Preston's submission to you was on the basis that Lam-Ly, or the Quick decision, basically said it deals with an undertaking more or less like when you're seeking an injunction and, therefore, it only operates prospectively, and, therefore, you cannot make a condition of any stay on the basis that the arear rents must be paid in addition to any rent that may become due and payable in the future until such time as the leave to appeal and appeal is being heard.

Now, that is clearly wrong, the submission, and, as a matter of fact, in paragraph 45 of the Quick decision — or 46 if we go back to it, and I will address you on that shortly, but in Quick, His Honour stated succinctly the principles as follows, and, again, I've paraphrased unless I put in quotation marks, so — but the — I have a copy of the decision, in any event — —

24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Thank you.

25 DR TRICHARDT: - - - that I can - I should have handed it up
26 earlier. In paragraph 25, His Honour said, which is
27 generally accepted, 'The principals governing the grant
28 of the stay is well settled', and there's a number of
29 authorities. I've only referred to one or two more. In
30 paragraph 27, he states three principles, and in my
31 outline, I've broken them up. The first is that, 'The

court has a wide discretion [and that's important] and is required to take into account all of the circumstances of the case'.

Now, in our matter today, the warrant of possession issue is part of the judgment of VCAT. The other part is the payment of the rent, and the appellants decided they will only seek leave to appeal the one part of the judgment, but that does not make it — or does not result in the fact that you, Registrar, cannot take all of the facts into account of the case, including the fact that there's been arear rents for about eight months.

Now, as I say in the submissions, so you cannot compartmentalise the facts, and to say, 'Well, I am only seeking a stay in respect of this issue, so forget about all the rest', that's not what is required of a court when considering an application for a stay.

Now, the second principle in paragraph 27 is that,
'The party applying for a stay [here the appellant] bears
the onus of demonstrating that the stay is justified'.
Now, that is very important. It bears the onus. And how
do you discharge an onus in an application or in any
court matter is by putting evidence before the court.

Now, in this matter, despite drawing the appellant's attention to it yesterday, they did not file an affidavit which is the means of putting evidence before the court in an application.

MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, if I may interrupt my colleague just
briefly, but in the notice of appeals for all five
matters, the issue of rent was definitely part of the
appeal - part of the order to the appeal.

31 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Thank you.

- 1 MR RAGHAVAN: Thank you.
- 2 DR TRICHARDT: I will deal with that that's, in any event,
- 3 contrary to what was submitted yesterday, but I'll deal
- 4 with the notice of appeal, but, in any event, it does not
- 5 change or have any effect on the submission that I've
- just made to you, Registrar.
- 7 And that is it was incumbent upon the appellant to
- 8 put evidence by way of an affidavit before the court in
- 9 support of its application for a stay in each of the
- 10 matters, and they failed to do that. They've made a
- forensic decision not to put it after it was raised
- 12 yesterday specifically, and they've had ample time to do
- 13 so.
- So if there's no evidence before the court, then
- there cannot be any position where the appellant can say
- that a stay is justified, and that links with the next
- submissions that I will make, and that we'll come to by
- the demonstration or the establishment of special or
- 19 exceptional circumstances, but - -
- 20 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Just on that point, the appellant, I
- 21 suppose, can make submissions that the (indistinct) is
- justified. You would say that because there's no
- evidence before the court, they can't discharge the onus
- that they bear.
- 25 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 26 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you.
- 27 DR TRICHARDT: Your Honour, then, the other principle
- highlighted by His Honour in paragraph 27 is that,
- 'Ordinarily, a successful party is entitled to the
- 30 benefit of the judgment and the presumption that the
- judgment is correct'.

Now, I refer to the recent book of Zuckerman, and I beg leave to hand up a copy of the relevant pages, where it's stated in paragraph 24.7 that, 'The right of the judgment creditor to enforce the judgment cannot be overlooked because, at this stage of the proceedings, it would have already expended significant resources in pursuing its case with the reasonable expectation that the process of adjudication would have resolved finally the issues between the parties'.

Now, that's a general statement, and I know one deals with it separately, and I'll get to that, but then we get to paragraph 28, where the principle is stated that, 'The power to order a stay will generally not be exercised unless the parties seeking the stay demonstrate special or exceptional circumstances'.

Now, I won't argue and take Your Honour to it, but in Zuckerman, Your Honour will see the next paragraph - I think it's paragraph 247 - he makes the point that it's only in Victoria where it's applicable, but if you look at the cases, the principle is the same in all the other jurisdictions, but, in any event, Justice Beach specifically says it is for the applicant for a stay to demonstrate, to prove, special or exceptional circumstances.

Now, again, since the appellant has not put any evidence before the court, it has not and it cannot demonstrate special or exceptional circumstances. Now, in paragraph 29, His Honour then says that, 'Special circumstances may be found to exist where the applicant is able to demonstrate that there is a real risk that it will not be possible to restore the appellant to his or

her former position if the judgment against the applicant is executed before the conclusion of the appeal.

Now, no doubt the appellant will say, 'Well, obviously if we are deprived of possession, it follows that we cannot be restored', but Your Honour, my submission is that that would be speculation, because there's no evidence to reach some a finding, because the court — and I should point out the other decision that I refer to in that paragraph, Scott v ANZ Bank, and I can hand up a copy of that decision also — and Zuckerman state that it is substantially in the same position.

Now, in this case we say that there's no evidence to say or to point that that would not be possible. And in any event, that is not, as such, determinative of the issue, because in the Quick decision, Mr Quick was an individual, a natural person, who occupied and had possession of the property, and the court said still, 'No. I'm not going to stay'. And I'll get to that.

The first basis was because Justice Beach found that Mr Quick didn't demonstrate an arguable ground for appeal, but he said, in any event, then the stay would be on the conditions of the payment, but in this case, Your Honour, I would also urge you to look at the decision in Cellante v Kallis Industries, and I beg leave to hand up a copy. I quote the relevant part on p.655, where Chief Justice Young said, 'Where an application is made for a stay of proceedings, it is necessary that the applicant demonstrate an appropriate case'.

JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Sorry, can you just tell me where that is on p.655.

31 DR TRICHARDT: It's at the bottom of the page.

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. Yes.
- 2 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 3 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 4 DR TRICHARDT: He's quoting from another decision, I should
- 5 have said.
- 6 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: The Alexander case.
- 7 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 9 DR TRICHARDT: So the first statement made, it should
- 10 demonstrate an appropriate case. We know this is not a
- 11 case yet. Prima facie, a successful party is entitled to
- the benefit of the judgment obtained by him. He's
- entitled to commence with the presumption that the
- judgment is correct.
- That's also what Justice Beach said, but then these
- are not matters of rigid principle and a court asked to
- grant a stay will consider each case upon its merits, but
- where an applicant for a stay has not demonstrated an
- 19 appropriate case, but has left the situation in a state
- of speculation or of mere argument, weight must be given
- 21 to the fact that the judgment below has been in favour of
- the other party.
- Now, Your Honour, that links with the other
- 24 principle that Justice Beach set out in paragraph 29 of
- 25 the Quick judgment that the prospect that the appeal may
- 26 be rendered nugatory must be balanced against the
- 27 principle that the successful party is entitled to the
- fruits of its judgment. Now, in light of what I've
- already said, but it's then clear that we have here an
- 30 appellant who's not a natural person, but a company,
- 31 who's not in the physical possession - -

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Possession of the property.
- 2 DR TRICHARDT: - and we also know, Registrar, that at the
- 3 moment, I'm instructed that the appellant is deemed to be
- 4 insolvent and has to show its solvency in the Supreme
- 5 Court proceeding S ECI 2019 02781.
- Now, I have copies of the orders, which ought to be
- on the relevant court file, and I also have a copy of the
- 8 notice of appearance, where my instructing solicitors
- 9 entered an appearance on behalf of the respondent as
- 10 supporting creditors.
- 11 So we have a situation here where unless Kornucopia
- can show Justice Randall on 11 September that the company
- is solvent, that company is going into liquidation. And
- then, furthermore, my submission is and I'll have to
- 15 check that, because if my learned friend is correct, then
- I stand to be corrected, but the appeal is, as I have it,
- not against the payment the sums, and that was also the
- position put forward by Mr Preston yesterday. You will
- have your time, please. So I will get the relevant
- 20 notices of appeal.
- 21 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. Well, I'll have a quick look now,
- 22 but certainly - -
- 23 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - Mr Preston was not seeking a
- 25 stay - -
- 26 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - on the payment, but I'm not sure
- whether he went so far as to say anything about whether
- 29 his client was seeking to appeal the - -
- 30 DR TRICHARDT: Yes. And it seems as though paragraphs 3 and 4
- 31 go to the - -

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: All of the orders of the member.
- 2 DR TRICHARDT: And I stand to be corrected on that - -
- 3 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 4 DR TRICHARDT: - but it's not a stay in respect of that.
- 5 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: But the stay has not been - -
- 6 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - sought in respect of the payment.
- 8 DR TRICHARDT: That is correct. Now, in paragraph 30 of the
- 9 Quick decision, Justice Beach pointed out that an
- 10 applicant must also demonstrate that there is at least an
- 11 arguable ground of appeal.

Now, unless there's an arguable ground of appeal or
the appeal is not bona fide, the court - in those two
cases, then the court doesn't even look further, but if
it can be said that there is an arguable ground of appeal
and that the appeal is bona fide, then the court will
focus on the enforcement aspect of the judgment, rather
on matters that are relevant to the validity or

19 correctness of the judgment.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Now, our submission is that there's no arguable ground of appeal, but I won't dwell on it, save to make two observations. The first is that in the affidavit in support of the notices of appeal, the appellant has not exhibited the residential tenancy agreement relevant to the various matters.

It goes in the affidavit on setting out when the email was sent on 17 January at 8.30, or it's actually 8.26, and then deals with the provisions of the VCAT Act and various other provisions to say, 'Well, it's clear that the notice was not received on the 17th, but on the 18th and, therefore, is a day short'.

And I will beg leave to hand up a copy of one of the residential tenancies, merely to point out the clause 4(a), and the reason for doing so is that it is stated there that the appellant consented to the service of notices and documents in accordance with the requirements of the Electronic Transactions (Victoria) Act (2000).

And that is then relevant to if we look at s.13 and s.13A of that Act, because s.13 provides and says when an electronic email has been dispatched, and that is when an electronic communication leaves an information system under control of the originator.

So in our case, we can see on the email exhibited to the affidavit that that went on 8.26 pm on the 17th, but we then get to s.13A, which has not been referred to in the affidavit in support, which is basically submissions.

And that is important, because it says, in sub-A, 'For purposes of the law, (indistinct), unless otherwise agreed between the originator and the addresses of an electronic communication, the time of receipt of the electronic communication is the time when the electronic becomes capable of being received by the addressee at an electronic address designated by the addressee'.

So in our case, even if we look at the affidavit filed in support, they've indicated that it has be sent to director of Kornucopia, that this Act is applicable. They say it was received at 8.30, but they make the case without reference to these sections that, 'Oh, no. There was no solicitor that could be - it's after hours and you could only the next day', but the Act makes specific provisions for when an email has been sent and when it's received absent an agreement.

- 1 There's no agreement alleged. So we don't have to
- 2 go into the Acts Interpretation Act, because this Act
- 3 covers the field in the regular. So on that basis, I
- 4 submit that there's no arguable ground.
- 5 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: So do you say that there's a difference
- 6 between when the electronic communication was capable of
- 7 being retrieved and when it actually in fact was
- 8 retrieved? Is that the argument that you're making?
- 9 DR TRICHARDT: Well, the - -
- 10 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Because you're saying there's no evidence
- 11 before the court about (indistinct).
- 12 DR TRICHARDT: There's no evidence, but all we know is that
- even if we have regard to the affidavit filed in support
- of the notice of appeal, there's no issue that the
- appellant said it received the email at 8.30 on the 17th,
- but they then have this convoluted argument to say,
- 'Well, you know, if you look at the Acts Interpretation
- 18 Act and so on, it said' - -
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It had to be before 4 pm.
- 20 DR TRICHARDT: That's the VCAT Act says - -
- 21 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It is the VCAT Act. Yes.
- 22 DR TRICHARDT: But the Acts Interpretation said if it's after
- business hour, then you look at the next business day,
- and the submission is clearly this Act regulates it.
- 25 There's no need to look at the VCAT Act, or - -
- 26 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 27 DR TRICHARDT: - the others, because that's why it's said.
- 28 And it's a different thing it's totally different if
- there was evidence before you, Registrar, that the
- appellant said that it was not the director to whom it
- 31 was (indistinct) was not capable of retrieving it, but

- 1 that's not the case.
- 2 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 3 DR TRICHARDT: The submissions that were made and what was said
- 4 in that affidavit for the court of the notice of appeal
- was that, 'Well, you know, at 8.30 at night, how can you
- 6 get a lawyer, or - '
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, there's no requirement to get a
- 8 lawyer - -
- 9 DR TRICHARDT: No. No.
- 10 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - for a company or anyone else.
- 11 That's not a requirement of the Residential Tenancies
- 12 Act.
- 13 DR TRICHARDT: That's right. And, therefore, we submit that
- 14 there's really no arguable case. However, Registrar, I
- do accept that at the moment, the reasons for the VCAT
- decision of 16 July is not before the court and we don't
- 17 know what the reasons were.
- So that's another problem with the notice of appeal
- 19 and the affidavit filed in support, because the Rule says
- you have to put those reasons before the court, because
- 21 otherwise, how is the court going to determine and decide
- the matter if the reasons are not there.
- But what is important is that for the purposes of
- this summons for the stay, there's no evidence, but even
- 25 the affidavit in support of the notice of appeal is
- incomplete, not only because the reasons are not there,
- but they don't put the very basic document, the retail
- tenancy agreement, before the court, and one can
- 29 speculate why: oversight, or is it because clause 4(a)
- is there, and clause 4(a) makes a mockery of this
- 31 convoluted argument about the application of the VCAT Act

and the application of the Acts Interpretation Act.

It is quite clear, and I would be surprised — and I don't want to speculate, but I would be surprised if we don't see something to that effect in the reasons of the VCAT member.

Be it as it may, in any event, even if the court were to find that there's an arguable ground of appeal, it is clear from what Justice Beach has said and the other authority that that, as such, does not constitute special circumstances, because the fact that there's an arguable ground of appeal does not go to the issues pertaining to the enforcement of the judgment or part of the judgment, in this case, the execution of the warrant of possession.

Now, then in paragraph 6, Registrar, I have already referred you to it earlier. Justice Beach found that Mr Quick had no arguable ground of appeal, but then continues in paragraph 45 that even if so, Mr Quick has not established the special or exceptional circumstances required in order to justify a stay, and my submission is that is exactly what we have here.

And then at paragraph 46: 'Moreover, even if I were persuaded that Mr Quick has a ground of appeal that was at least arguable, there is no basis in this case for staying the orders that have been made against him so that he may continue to adversely possess the premises pending the hearing of this application for leave without paying or securing the outstanding rent that has accrued and continues to accrue'.

Now, that brings me back to the submission that I made right at the start when I submitted to you,

Registrar, that there shouldn't be a stay of these orders, but if — on the basis that there's no arguable case — and, in any event, if there's no establishment of special or exceptional circumstances — but if you were against me on that basis, then the submission is that if there's a stay in each of these matters — that it must be conditional upon the appellant paying the arrears and making provision — securing the outstanding rent that still continues to accrue.

Now, in paragraph 7 of the outline, I've just set out the first schedule or block there. It shows that the monthly rent is 2,825, or 2,608 - whatever the case for the properties - amounting to the total sum of \$13,538.32 per month, which has not been paid, and then the next column is the - maybe I should refer to the last column, because that's the VCAT order on 16 July which sets out the amount owing - the 19,000, et cetera, plus the 2,000 bond.

But obviously my clients, the respondents, have the bond, so you can deduct \$2,600. So it's the last column that we then look at as - for the outstanding amount according to the VCAT order.

Now, I must point out that these do not include the Pan numbers, because for Pan the order said - the arrears, as for the VCAT order, was \$10,000, and then it says the monthly rental is \$2,672.32, so if we take that into account on this, in the third column the Pan would be \$10,000, and that's the - I think it's already there in 17(10)(s). And then the outstanding is 2,672. That must be the last one in the first column as well. Sorry, it's included there, but - -

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It is, yes.
- 2 DR TRICHARDT: - that's the explanation for it, and then
- 3 the middle column, or the second column that sets out
- 4 the rent owing to 14 August, and the reason for 14 August
- is that these rents become due and payable on the 15th of
- 6 every month, according to the agreement. So the middle
- 7 column would be a combination of what VCAT has ordered -
- 8 was in arrears, plus the rent from 16 July to 14 August.
- 9 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes, I see.
- 10 DR TRICHARDT: So that would be the arrears that we say should
- be paid as a condition if you, Registrar, are mindful to
- order a stay. The further aspect that I wish to point
- out, then, is in paragraph 8 of the outline - -
- 14 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Sorry, just for clarification, is the rent
- paid in advance or in arrears, or partly in advance -
- 16 partly in arrears?
- 17 DR TRICHARDT: Your Honour, the Registrar, I would submit
- 18 that if an order were to be - -
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: No, no, no. I understand that. I'm just
- wondering, in the terms of the residential tenancy
- 21 agreement - -
- 22 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 23 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - is the rent to be paid in advance or
- in arrears, or partly in advance partly in arrears.
- 25 DR TRICHARDT: I will have to check on that. I was just
- working on the basis that Mr Preston yesterday indicated
- they would have no problem to pay any fines.
- 28 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Pay any amount. I'm just wondering the
- 29 figure that you've arrived at up to 14 August that
- 30 would be for the -
- 31 DR TRICHARDT: Arrears, yes, going back.

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Only for the arrears? It wouldn't be for
- 2 the subsequent month?
- 3 DR TRICHARDT: No. No. So - -
- 4 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Very well.
- 5 DR TRICHARDT: So - -
- 6 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: (Indistinct) understand.
- 7 DR TRICHARDT: - for the - -
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: So then you would also seek the
- 9 forthcoming payment in advance - -
- 10 DR TRICHARDT: On 15 August - -
- 11 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - on the 15th - -
- 12 DR TRICHARDT: -- and 15th of every month ---
- 13 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: In accordance with the tenancy agreement?
- 14 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 16 DR TRICHARDT: That's on the basis that the period from 16 July
- 17 to 14 August is included in added to the VCAT figure as
- we say in the second column.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes, I understand.
- 20 DR TRICHARDT: So in paragraph 8, as I have submitted, there
- shouldn't be stay orders, but if the court were to grant,
- it must be conditional upon the arrear rentals paid by 4
- pm on Friday tomorrow, 9 August. Now, Your Honour may
- say, 'Why then?' Because at the moment arrangements have
- been made to execute the warrant at 11.30 or 12 o'clock
- on Monday 12 o'clock 12.30 on Monday.
- So we don't want to, again, tell the police not to
- go, so if the condition is paid the arrears and that
- 29 would be the amount set out in the second column so
- including up to the 14 August one that must be paid by
- 4 pm on Friday, and that's basically what I've said in

- sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), and then sub-paragraph (c) 
  to say that the stay will not come into effect unless the

  payments in Paragraph 8(a) and (b) are made.
- And then if the appellant were to have made the

  payments by 4 o'clock tomorrow and then the stay would

  be in effect, and then the rent for all the subsequent

  months be paid in advanced on the 15th of each month

  until the determination of the application for leave to

  appeal.
- Now, I am not sure whether you would in a position today to give an indication when the leave to appeal and appeal will be heard, because that could make the order easier to say - specify the month; otherwise, it will just continue on the 15 - until whatever time - -
- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It will probably have to be until the
- determination, because even if it was heard on a
- 17 particular - -
- 18 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - day, the determination may not
- 20 be - -
- 21 DR TRICHARDT: That is - -
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - (indistinct) that day.
- 23 DR TRICHARDT: That's correct. And then we then say that if a
- 24 payment is not made on the 15th, the respondent -
- whoever's payment has not been made can approach the
- court without notice to the appellant for an order
- 27 lifting the stay to allow the respondents all of them
- then to engage the police to execute the warrants of
- possession, so that is to avoid having to have an
- argument again, and all sorts of applications.
- This must be self-executing, and we can just notify

1	the court that we have not - by affidavit - that the
_	the court that we have not by arridavit that the
2	payment was not received, and then the court can say,
3	'The stay is lifted. You can go ahead'. And then,
4	further, the appellant waive it's right to challenge an
5	order made pursuant to paragraph 9(d).
6	And that, you will recall, Registrar, was yesterda

And that, you will recall, Registrar, was yesterday, when Mr Preston was also (indistinct) discuss what if the court then lifts the stay, and there's an appeal, and then we find ourselves in this whole - - -

10 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Back to the same position.

DR TRICHARDT: And, therefore, they can't. That's the selfexecuting - they can't then challenge it. We don't have
to give them notice, because they've had their
opportunity, and then lastly that the appellant pay the
costs of the application for stay, either tax or as
agreed.

That's for yesterday and today, and insofar as that is concerned, my instructing solicitor just printed out a copy of Horsebreeze, where it was stated that, in these sort of cases, 'The order in relation to costs of an application for a stay [it's in the middle paragraph] of execution pending an appeal should be that the costs be paid by the applicant, not that they be reserved'.

Now, we would seek such an order as well. I can also inform you, Registrar, and foreshadow that there will be an application for security for costs in respect of the appeal, having regard to the fact that the appellant is, as at today, still deemed to be insolvent.

We don't know what's going to happen before

Associate Justice Randall, and we know there's a lot of
money outstanding. Nothing is coming forward. So there

will be such an application, but that does not affect the orders that we are seeking from you today.

The - what else did I need to - I may just mention that insofar as whether there's an arguable ground for appeal, there's a snapshot attached to the affidavit of appeal, and it appears as though it comes from a document Residential Tenancies Practice Note 2017 No.1 Electronic Services of Notice.

And in that document, as my learned friends indicated, there was a statement made that maybe this is a case that the court should consider, but it does make reference to s.13A of the Electronic Transfer Act, and my submission is that that section will be the section that the court will find regulating it.

So, in summary, there's an application, but no evidence in support, so, therefore, the appellant has failed to make out any claim that a stay is justified, that there are special or exceptional circumstances, and that should, as such, bring this whole application to an end, that no order be made in their favour.

It's arguable that there's no arguable ground. We say not, but I do accept that in light of the fact that the reasons of VCAT is not before you and that they are arguments, whether they are good, but that doesn't make a change to the whole application for a stay.

It's not special circumstances. They have not demonstrated and discharged their burden of proof that if they were to be successful with the leave to appeal and appeal, that the appellant cannot be restored to the position before, and in light of what I've said, it's a company not in possession - not actually living in the

premises. It's a deemed insolvent company.

And then, lastly, that despite all of what I've submitted, you are still mindful to grant a stay, then it must be on the conditions that the arrears be paid and provision made. My instructing solicitor points out to me that the tenancy agreement shows rent payable in advance from the 4th or the 6th of the month, not - yes.

So it may mean, then, that an adjustment - because we've assumed it was the 15th, but even if we work on the 15th, there can't be any prejudice if those orders were made, and that we also seek the costs, because this is - and, Registrar, you pointed out yesterday when Mr Preston was also there, if one just looks at the whole history of this matter, having regard to Deputy President Proctor's reasons and so on, there's delays and it's been taking a long time. They're not paying.

There are submissions that, yes, they've got claims in excess and such, but none was ever progressed or advanced or pursued, and everything happens on the last moment and out of time without seeking any indulgence from the court for an extension of time within in which to do things or comply with the rules.

And surely an applicant - appellant, like we have here, cannot come to court and say, 'I seek your indulgence, but I'm just not following the rules. I'm delaying matters. I'm not interested in paying, and I just make up all of these things. I'm not even putting everything before the court so that the court is fully informed on the appeal'.

And the other - if we can just look at why we're here today, because yesterday, we were not provided with

- 1 the affidavits. We were not provided with sealed copies
- of the summons and so on, which necessitated us to come
- 3 back to you today, wasting the court's time and causing
- 4 my clients to incur further costs. Unless there's
- 5 anything in particular that - -
- 6 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I just want to - -
- 7 DR TRICHARDT: - you would like to hear me on, those are my
- 8 submissions.
- 9 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I just want to ask you something about the
- submission you made about the fact that the tenant in
- 11 these cases is a corporate entity and that in terms of
- 12 the lack of evidence before the court about then the
- appeal being rendered nugatory because they couldn't be
- 14 put back into the situation that they may be in currently
- 15 if they were - -
- 16 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 17 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - successful on appeal. The appellant
- is not the occupier of the properties. The appellant
- 19 runs a business, as I understand it, where the properties
- are rented out.
- 21 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: So what they are seeking to do,
- presumably, is avoid a loss of profits. It's a monetary
- 24 matter - -
- 25 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 26 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - and, on that basis, it seems to me
- 27 that in the event that they were successful and they
- could establish in some way that they had suffered a
- loss, that could be a legal claim open to them. It's not
- 30 the same as an individual being removed from their home.
- 31 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Do you have anything you want to say about
- 2 that?
- 3 DR TRICHARDT: Yes, and that is the situation. I must say, I
- 4 don't know where that evidence I know it was discussed
- 5 yesterday - -
- 6 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It's not evidence. It's - -
- 7 DR TRICHARDT: - but if - -
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I mean, I suppose Mr Preston was asking me
- 9 to take judicial notice of the fact that not having
- 10 possession of a property would render an appeal nugatory,
- and in the ordinary circumstances where the applicant for
- 12 the stay is the occupant of the property - -
- 13 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 14 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - that is, perhaps, something that I
- 15 could take on judicial notice without formal evidence
- before me, but I think, on reflection, I'm not persuaded
- 17 that those - -
- 18 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: That it's a lay down misere, if you
- 20 like - -
- 21 DR TRICHARDT: Yes.
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - in terms of the appeal being
- rendered nugatory, and you've alluded to that as well.
- 24 DR TRICHARDT: Absolutely, but I would also go further to
- submit that even if we were to deal with a natural person
- 26 individual like Mr Quick or Mr Scott in the Scott v ANZ
- Bank, it was still incumbent upon them to put evidence
- 28 before - -
- 29 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 30 DR TRICHARDT: - the court to say, 'Listen, this is my
- 31 home. I live in this home, and if you kick me out, then

1 I won't be able to live in this house', or whatever, 'And, as a result, you will not be able to restore me to 2 my previous position for whatever the situation may be'. 3 4 There were arguments in Scott, 'You've got a mortgage over it', and all sorts of things, but what 5 6 you'd then need to, I would submit - and it's speculation because we don't have evidence, but you would then have 7 8 to say, 'Well, here's the agreement. This is what I am 9 using the business for. There are long-term tenants that I'm subletting to', or whatever the case may be - - -10 11 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Whatever the case is. DR TRICHARDT: - - - and then, 'I would be in breach of that 12 13 contract', and so on. And, in any event, you know, we 14 need to know that if they were successful with this 15 appeal, that there was a one-day notice, sure, it is 16 always, depending on the agreements and so on, for the landlords to say, 'We terminate. You're in arrears with 17 18 the rent. You haven't paid. That's the end of it. You 19 don't have any further' - so - - -JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: What, simply by - - -20 21 DR TRICHARDT: So - - -22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - - - the notice to vacate? 23 DR TRICHARDT: So what - at most, one would then most probably 24 have - re-issue a notice for possession. There's 14 days 25 or whatever, but that's all speculation, but that's the 26 sort of thing that the applicant for a stay application 27 must put before the court to say, 'Because of the following facts, it would not be possible to 28 29 substantially restore my position', and there's nothing.

- 1 had ample opportunity, and the rules require them to do
- 2 so, and they made a decision not to put any affidavit -
- 3 they've put an affidavit in support of the notice of
- 4 appeal. They could've done it in respect of the summons.
- 5 And, Your Honour, even if we continue with the
- 6 speculation, and I'm not saying we should, but just, for
- 7 example, say, if they are successful and Kornucopia has
- 8 paid the arrears and so on and they want to go and have
- 9 possession of continue with the lease of these premises
- 10 to continue the business, on what basis would there be a
- 11 reason not to do so? My clients are in the business of
- renting out their premises. So there's certainly no
- evidence to counter and assumption - -
- 14 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 15 DR TRICHARDT: - that - -
- 16 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Exactly.
- 17 DR TRICHARDT: - Kornucopia could be restored to
- 18 possession.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 20 DR TRICHARDT: And, as you've pointed out, they may I don't
- submit that they will have, but they may try to pursue
- the claim. They've threatened they've got so many other
- claims and if they get out, they can show solvency, then,
- 24 who knows, they can pursue it if they want, if they think
- 25 (indistinct) if they're not, then the liquidator must
- decide whether there's a claim.
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. All right. Thank you. Yes,
- 28 Mr Raghavan.
- 29 DR TRICHARDT: Sorry, Your Honour, if I may - -
- 30 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 31 DR TRICHARDT: - I just want to re-confirm that I stand to

- 1 be corrected on the appeal only being in - -
- 2 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 3 DR TRICHARDT: - respect of a stay.
- 4 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. No, I understand. Thank you. Yes,
- 5 Mr Raghavan.
- 6 MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, I'd just like to start by saying my
- 7 colleague's submissions were drafted with the presumption
- 8 that the rent arrears are not being challenged. This is
- 9 very clear in the notice to appeal for all five matters.
- 10 It's stated very clearly.
- 11 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Sorry, when you talk about your colleague,
- 12 are you talking about Mr Trichardt or Mr Preston.
- 13 MR RAGHAVAN: No, Mr Trichardt.
- 14 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, he's said that he accepts that. I
- don't think that his submissions were dependent
- 16 (indistinct)
- 17 MR RAGHAVAN: Okay. Registrar, I'd just like to start off by
- handing up a copy of the affidavit that I'm relying on,
- 19 as well - -
- 20 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I'm sorry, what affidavit?
- 21 MR RAGHAVAN: No, this is not a new affidavit, just the
- 22 affidavit in support of the notice of appeal, just for
- your reference.
- 24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I have that already.
- 25 MR RAGHAVAN: Okay.
- 26 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. In which matter?
- 27 MR RAGHAVAN: They're all the same.
- 28 DR TRICHARDT: Yes, they are.
- 29 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. All right. Well, I've got the
- 30 matter of Wirawan, so if - -
- 31 MR RAGHAVAN: That's fine - -

- JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - that's acceptable. 1
- MR RAGHAVAN: - Registrar. Now, in terms of the affidavits 2
- in support of the notice to appeals being late by a 3
- couple of days, I submit that the director, or the 4
- director who provided the details that provided a basis 5
- of all the information contained within the affidavit was 6
- 7 ill and inaccessible.
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Is there any evidence of that before the
- 9 court?
- 10 DR TRICHARDT: No.
- MR RAGHAVAN: Not in a certificate or not in a legal sense. 11
- JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, that's just a submission from the 12
- 13 Bar table.
- 14 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes, Registrar. The other party did not write to
- us to say we were late. 15
- 16 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: They weren't served. They weren't served
- until yesterday with the affidavit material. 17
- 18 MR RAGHAVAN: We - - -
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: In any event, it's not on the other party
- to tell you to comply with the rules. 20
- 21 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes, Registrar.
- JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Ordinarily, what they would be served with 22
- 23 is the notice of appeal, the affidavit and the summons
- 24 for directions within the right time.
- 25 MR RAGHAVAN: In terms of the notice of appeal and the
- 26 affidavits in support, we have now complied with all the
- requirements. 27
- JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: In terms of the notice of appeal? 28
- 29 MR RAGHAVAN: In terms of the notice of appeal.
- JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: But there's no affidavit in support of 30
- 31 this summons for a stay?

- 1 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes.
- 2 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Is that correct?
- 3 MR RAGHAVAN: And, Registrar, I'd just like to point out at
- 4 this time that the beneficial owner of the appellant is
- 5 seated next to me, and he can testify and give evidence
- about matters that are personal to the appeal.
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, in what way would that be
- 8 appropriate?
- 9 MR RAGHAVAN: Any evidence that you require - -
- 10 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It's your case to make - -
- 11 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand, Registrar.
- 12 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - and the appropriate way to make a
- case is on affidavit material so that the court is aware
- of what the case is before it and the other party is
- 15 aware of what is said.
- 16 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand, Registrar.
- 17 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It's a corporation. Now, the court might
- provide some indulgence to individuals who have no legal
- 19 representation. That is not the situation that we find
- 20 ourselves in.
- 21 MR RAGHAVAN: We would like to request permission, Registrar,
- 22 that Mr Kuksal be allowed to speak just briefly and make
- some submissions testimony.
- 24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: No. That permission is denied. You're a
- corporation. You're legally represented. Your role is
- to make the submissions on behalf of the company.
- 27 MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, this matter today is about three
- things only. Did we have a reasonable case to argue?
- Second, if the tenant is kicked out, is the damage done
- irredeemable, the prejudice irredeemable and
- irreversible, and I would like to point out there is a

- distinction that the Registrar was trying to make.
- We are tenants under a residential tenancy lease.
- 3 It's not the point that being a business, makes you
- 4 know, makes it different in any sense, as for example,
- 5 employees are staying at the residences in question,
- 6 as - -
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: What's the evidence of that?
- 8 MR RAGHAVAN: Mr Kuksal can testify to that.
- 9 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: No. I've already refused him permission.
- This should all be on affidavit if this is the case you
- 11 want to make. This was raised yesterday that there was
- no affidavit material and there's been no affidavit
- material filed.
- Mr Trichardt specifically said there's no affidavit
- in support of the summons, and Mr Preston said, 'I'll get
- some instructions. It's a matter for my clients as to
- 17 whether there will be an affidavit filed'.
- 18 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand, Registrar, but the issue was the
- warrants, you know, for the execution of the possession
- orders, or the stay, rather, was due to expire today,
- Thursday.
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: That's right.
- 23 MR RAGHAVAN: And - -
- 24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: That's why this court, despite the very
- late notice of this application, made time available
- yesterday and has made time available today. We made
- time available yesterday in circumstances where you were
- advised that the matter wouldn't proceed if the summons
- wasn't filed by 1 o'clock. It wasn't filed by 1 o'clock,
- and yet we're still making that indulgence and listed it
- for 3 o'clock. It wasn't filed until 1.31. It wasn't

- 1 served on Mr Trichardt at all - -
- 2 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand - -
- 3 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - and yet he managed to get here. His
- 4 client has managed to brief and he's managed overnight to
- 5 put together submissions and a comprehensive outline of
- the case that he puts. It's your client's case to make.
- 7 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand, Registrar.
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: You've sought and obtained an indulgence
- 9 and the court is at the end of the indulgences that it
- 10 will grant. So make your case.
- 11 MR RAGHAVAN: And I would like to point out regarding Quick,
- 12 Quick himself a natural person, but he was licensing. He
- 13 was licensing - -
- 14 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes.
- 15 MR RAGHAVAN: - a property without permission.
- 16 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. That's right. And he didn't get a
- 17 stay.
- 18 MR RAGHAVAN: Just to clarify, he was refused a stay because no
- 19 undertaking was given.
- 20 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Have you read the decision?
- 21 MR RAGHAVAN: I've just briefly gone over it.
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, that's not a correct submission.
- 23 MR RAGHAVAN: Now, in terms of rental areas, my colleague here
- 24 made a wrong assumption that we were not actively
- defending this.
- 26 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: No. I think that's been cleared up.
- 27 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand.
- 28 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I understand.
- 29 MR RAGHAVAN: But just to reiterate, we are appealing that to
- 30 the Supreme Court and there'll be separate grounds for
- 31 the basis of that appeal of the rent. Now, in terms of

- enforcing you know, wanting to obtain payment of the rent, I've already mentioned it's been appealed.
- However, enforcement of a judgment debt is very 3 4 clear, well laid out and straightforward. It is done in the Magistrates' Court and, like I said, the procedures 5 6 are very clear and, therefore, the respondent, if they wish to enforce the orders made at VCAT, they should 7 8 follow those procedures and not undertake, you know, 9 other sorts of proceedings, including insolvency proceedings. 10
- 11 And just on that, the debt in question in the
  12 insolvency proceedings is only for \$12,000. What we are
  13 proposing to do is to pay \$13,000 by whatever date is
  14 agreed on. Now, that in itself renders any issue of
  15 insolvency, I would submit respectfully, out of the
  16 question, and it would show that insolvency proceeding is
  17 just a way to - -
- JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: But, again, I've got no evidence about
  that. I've got a submission from the Bar table. At the
  moment, as I understand, there's a deemed insolvency; is
  that correct, Dr Trichardt, and - -
- 22 DR TRICHARDT: That's correct. And it's not my client who have
- 23 made that - -
- 24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: And it's not these clients. And so to the
- extent that that's of any relevant to this proceeding,
- that's just a fact and I understand your client is
- 27 challenging that or you are challenging that - -
- 28 DR TRICHARDT: That's correct.
- 29 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - and that will go before Associate
- Justice Randall on 11 September. What you propose to do
- 31 about that or the strength or weakness of that case is

- 1 not relevant to this court, other than the mere fact that
- 2 at the moment your client is deemed insolvent, to the
- 3 extent that that has any bearing on the overall
- 4 discretion that this court is required to exercise.
- 5 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand, Registrar, and just to clarify that
- 6 my colleague is a solicitor for supporting creditors in
- 7 that hearing. So - -
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: He's counsel.
- 9 MR RAGHAVAN: Counsel. Okay. As you say, those are separate
- 10 proceeding. I just wanted to highlight the amount in
- 11 question quickly. That is fact that the amount due, or
- 12 owing, rather, is \$12,000.
- 13 MR KUKSAL: Just roughly.
- 14 MR RAGHAVAN: And it is a disputed amount. So yes. The stay
- will be conditional on advance payment of rent. So that
- 16 would clear that matter up regarding insolvency. Payment
- will be made one month in advance. If a stay is granted,
- that would be the condition and, therefore, if we were
- 19 not allowed if my client was not given the right to,
- you know, let the appeal process run, what would happen
- 21 would be for the warrant would be executed.
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: You can let the appeal process run. The
- appeal can go ahead regardless of the stay. There's no
- suggestion that the appeal is being dealt with.
- 25 MR RAGHAVAN: But we are appealing the possession order, but
- 26 yet - -
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, that's not before the court. You're
- appealing the decision of well, yes. All right.
- You're appealing the order for possession of the member.
- That's before the court. That's right. Yes.
- 31 MR RAGHAVAN: And so the issue is, you know, having a stay put

- in place up until the matter is heard fully at appeal -
- yes. So just to make it very clear, if a stay isn't
- 3 granted, I believe the warrant is due to be executed this
- 4 Monday at 12.30, and in that situation, then, my client
- 5 would be kicked out of all the properties in question,
- and that would, you know, render the appeal quite
- 7 useless, because what would happen then if my client
- 8 wanted an appeal? There is that issue, I would submit.
- 9 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: So what Dr Trichardt has submitted to the
- 10 court is that there is no evidence before the court that
- 11 the appeal would be rendered nugatory; that it's mere
- 12 speculation.
- 13 MR RAGHAVAN: It's a residential tenancy agreement.
- 14 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I understand.
- 15 MR RAGHAVAN: That's right. So - -
- 16 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. Well, you heard from Dr Trichardt
- had to say. Do you want to respond to that in any way?
- 18 I'm just giving you the opportunity, in terms of that.
- 19 MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, if you could just give me a
- 20 minute - -
- 21 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Certainly.
- 22 MR RAGHAVAN: - to seek instructions. Thank you. I've
- just been instructed by my client to provide more
- 24 clarification about the issue of, you know, a business
- occupying the property. It's not just a matter of cash
- 26 flow. There are certain attributes, certain unique
- points, about a business and its business location that
- go beyond merely just, you know, financial implications.
- 29 MR KUKSAL: Okay. Registrar - -
- 30 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I'm sorry? Why are you speaking? I don't
- know who you are or in what capacity you're here, but

- 1 you've got a lawyer. The lawyer should be representing
- 2 you.
- 3 MR RAGHAVAN: Sorry, Registrar - -
- 4 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: No. That's all right.
- 5 MR RAGHAVAN: - for that delay. The issue is I have not
- 6 been well briefed for this matter. Daniel was the
- 8 briefed in this matter. Registrar, I request just a five
- 9 minute adjournment just to seek proper instructions.
- 10 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I will grant you five minutes to seek some
- 11 further instruction and make any additional submissions.
- 12 Mr Preston made full submissions yesterday and I have
- taken everything that he said into account.
- 14 MR RAGHAVAN: Okav.
- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: But what he largely turned his submissions
- to were the arguable grounds of appeal. So that was the
- weight of his submissions, and what is being attacked
- 18 today by Dr Trichardt is whether exceptional
- 19 circumstances exist. There is no material before the
- 20 court about that.
- 21 And so you can certainly make submissions, but you
- can't make submissions that purport to be evidence. That
- needs to be done by way of affidavit. It hasn't been
- done. An opportunity was provided. So any submissions
- 25 that you make should address me on the law and the
- principles, but I'll stand the matter down for five
- 27 minutes.
- 28 MR RAGHAVAN: Thank you, Registrar.
- 29 (Short adjournment)
- 30 DR TRICHARDT: Registrar, should I - -
- 31 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes, would you mind? Just let them know.

- 1 MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, my apologies for that for being
- late. I apologise for that. I also would like to
- 3 apologise for the lack of an affidavit pursuant to the
- 4 stay application for yesterday and, obviously, continuing
- 5 today. I do apologise. The matter was heard up until 5
- 6 last night, and we didn't have time to prepare an
- 7 affidavit that would have done justice to that
- 8 submissions that we wished to make today.
- 9 With regards to the lease agreement, it was signed
- by a company, and there is no evidence given that the
- 11 company is running a business there. It would be
- 12 unreasonable in the circumstances to presume to make
- any sort of presumptions without evidence that a company
- is running a business at premises under a retail lease -
- a residential lease, to clarify, not a retail lease.
- 16 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I'm not sure I understand what you mean.
- 17 MR RAGHAVAN: What I'm saying is, just to provide clarification
- on the issue of - -
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, the company isn't a person - -
- 20 MR RAGHAVAN: This - -
- 21 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - an individual. So the company is
- 22 not living.
- 23 MR RAGHAVAN: Well - -
- 24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - in the properties.
- 25 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand that, Registrar. But I have just
- 26 spoken with the director and have been instructed
- 27 that - -
- 28 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: And who's the director?
- 29 MR RAGHAVAN: - the premises accommodate employees.
- 30 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Who's the director?
- 31 MR RAGHAVAN: Lulu Xu. She is the director of the company and

- she can testify make an affidavit stating that there
- 2 are several employees.
- 3 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: She could have done, but she hasn't.
- 4 MR RAGHAVAN: But just to clarify, there's no evidence that -
- 5 either that we're, you know, operating a business at any
- of these leases under the Residential under the RTA.
- 7 It's not there's simply no evidence of that. So - -
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I accept that.
- 9 MR RAGHAVAN: Okay. Thank you. Finally, even if it based on
- 10 no evidence it was concluded that the premises were
- 11 exclusively used for business purposes, there is a well-
- 12 established principle in law that the dislodgment of
- business is about more than monetary concerns. There are
- intangible losses associated with the dislodgment.
- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, what's it to be? Is it that you're
- not running a business there? Or that you are running a
- business there and there are intangible losses that the
- 18 court should take judicial notice of?
- 19 MR RAGHAVAN: I'm just - -
- 20 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Can you walk both sides of this street?
- 21 MR RAGHAVAN: I'm just arguing as an alternative.
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, I'm not required to make a finding
- as to whether there's a business operating there, am I?
- 24 MR RAGHAVAN: No.
- 25 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: The submission that is made against you is
- there is no evidence before the court that the appeal
- would be rendered nugatory. That's the submission.
- Whether it's because you do run a business there or you
- don't run a business there, it's all speculation, isn't
- 30 it?
- There is no evidence. There's no evidence that

- 1 you're running a business there. There's no evidence
- that you're not. There's no evidence of who's in these
- 3 properties. There's no evidence at all. It's all
- 4 speculation. That's the point that is being made against
- 5 you.
- 6 MR RAGHAVAN: But even if we I do not have an affidavit from
- 7 relevant parties, I am saying from the bar table that
- 8 there are employees living in those properties. It is a
- 9 lease under the Residential Tenancy Lease. There are
- 10 people living there natural people living there. It's
- 11 not as if - -
- 12 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: But how does that render the appeal
- nugatory for the company? There's other properties
- 14 available for you. I mean, it's all speculation, isn't
- it? I just don't know. I don't know if - -
- 16 MR RAGHAVAN: What - -
- 17 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - there's any particular reason why it
- would be impossible for employees of the company to be
- relocated to other residential properties. There's
- 20 nothing before me.
- 21 MR RAGHAVAN: Well, from the bar table I submit, Registrar,
- 22 that the warrant of possession is due to be executed on -
- by 12.30 on Monday. That is what I am saying. There is
- simply it's a very short - -
- 25 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. That's - -
- 26 MR RAGHAVAN: - amount of time. And it's the urgency - -
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, it was since 16 July, so it's nearly
- a month.
- 29 MR KUKSAL: No. Sorry.
- 30 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: The orders were made on 16 July.
- 31 MR KUKSAL: Yes. And they were stayed. The stay order - -

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Sorry. You've got a lawyer. I don't know
- 2 who you are or what - -
- 3 MR KUKSAL: The orders were stayed. I'm the beneficial owner
- 4 of the business.
- 5 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: What's the beneficial owner?
- 6 MR KUKSAL: I own the company.
- 7 DR TRICHARDT: That doesn't matter.
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, that doesn't give you standing in
- 9 this court.
- 10 MR KUKSAL: I also have the - -
- 11 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. All right. So - -
- 12 MR KUKSAL: I have authorisation from the director.
- 13 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Raghavan.
- 14 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes. So the order is the orders is
- 15 (indistinct) from the 16th. There was another hearing on
- 16 the 31st, I believe, staying the - -
- 17 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: The stay hearing.
- 18 MR RAGHAVAN: The stay order.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: But there were orders - -
- 20 MR RAGHAVAN: At VCAT.
- 21 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - since (indistinct) in any event, the
- tenants the tenant, which is the business, has been on
- notice since the 16th of the possession order.
- 24 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes.
- 25 MR KUKSAL: And we stayed the orders at VCAT (indistinct) the
- possession order.
- 27 MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, if I may ask leave for Mr Kuksal, he
- 28 is - -
- 29 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: That leave is not granted. He has no
- 30 standing in this court. The owner is not a director.
- And there's no evidence about who he is at all, other

- than he's own submission that he's the beneficial owner
- 2 (indistinct)
- 3 MR RAGHAVAN: We're able to get the director of the company
- 4 over the phone to you.
- 5 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, it's - -
- 6 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes. It's already - -
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It's after 1 o'clock. It's five past 1.
- 8 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes. Already - -
- 9 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: This has already been adjourned. You've
- 10 had your opportunity. I don't accept that you have had
- 11 no opportunity to put on affidavit material. And, in any
- 12 event, affidavit material should have been put on at the
- time of the summons.
- 14 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand.
- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: These proceedings have been on foot since
- 16 24 July.
- 17 MR KUKSAL: That's not accurate.
- 18 MR RAGHAVAN: I - -
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, in this court, the proceedings were
- filed on 24 July.
- 21 MR KUKSAL: Yes. But the stay order was not necessary - -
- 22 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: (Indistinct) you've got legal
- 23 representation - -
- 24 MR KUKSAL: - because there was a stay - -
- 25 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - so you should speak through your
- lawyer.
- 27 MR KUKSAL: I can provide evidence of - -
- 28 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: So, Mr but you're not in the position to
- 29 provide evidence, because I'm refusing you that
- permission. Mr Raghavan, these proceedings have been on
- foot since 24 July. Now, the case that your client makes

- 1 in this court is that you have grounds of appeal based on some very specific dates and times and appearance for 2
- particular provisions of Acts and legislation.
- 4 But it's - it appears that when it comes time for
- your client to comply with the specifics of the Rules of 5
- 6 the court, that you request an indulgence time and again.
- There won't be any further indulgence. When you rose to 7
- 8 stand, you said that you had three things that you - that
- 9 the case was about.
- You said: whether there was a reasonable case to 10
- 11 answer, whether if your tenant was kicked out the damage
- was irreversible, and then you moved on to the fact that 12
- 13 rental arrears were being appealed.
- 14 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes.

3

- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Was that the third point that you wanted
- 16 to make? Or was there another point?
- MR RAGHAVAN: Registrar, just if I could continue just briefly 17
- 18 with the second point, because I didn't have an
- 19 opportunity to - because we got caught up with the issue
- 20 of being a natural person and so on.
- 21 But just to put it on the other side, to put it on
- 22 my colleague's side, they're in a position, you know,
- representing the landlords, and we're offering to pay 23
- 24 monthly in advance. That makes - that mitigates whatever
- 25 risk they have going forward. It mitigates almost
- 26 completely the risk.
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: That was the submission that was pressed
- 28 at length yesterday.
- 29 MR RAGHAVAN: Okay.
- 30 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: And (indistinct) very well. Is there
- 31 anything else that you want to say?

- 1 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes. Unfortunately, my client has told me that I
- 2 no longer have instructions to to run this matter -
- 3 this hearing today.
- 4 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: What does that mean?
- 5 MR RAGHAVAN: So I will have to withdraw as solicitor.
- 6 MR KUKSAL: So Naveen has not been prepared properly. Daniel
- 7 was briefed to appear for this matter. He could not be
- 8 here today. He had advised the court of that yesterday.
- 9 We're being put in a very difficult position where you're
- 10 asking Naveen very specific questions that he obviously
- does not have the answer to. I am here - -
- 12 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Right. As I said - -
- 13 MR KUKSAL: I am here, available to answer those questions, but
- 14 you're not permitting me to answer those questions.
- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It's not it is not the way that it
- works. I'm sorry. I don't know who you are.
- 17 MR KUKSAL: I'm Shivesh. Naveen can introduce me. I am the
- 18 beneficial owner of - -
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: You're Mr?
- 20 MR KUKSAL: Shivesh Kuksal.
- 21 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal.
- 22 MR KUKSAL: That's right. I'm the beneficial owner of the
- entity. I have the authorisation of the director to
- represent the company.
- 25 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It's - -
- 26 MR KUKSAL: Daniel had also foreshadowed - -
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal, can you please sit down and be
- quiet. Okay. Mr Raghavan, if you are no longer
- instructed, I will proceed to hand down my orders.
- 30 MR RAGHAVAN: Just - -
- 31 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Do you hold instructions or not?

- 1 MR RAGHAVAN: Just to clarify, Registrar, again, I do not have 2 instructions, just because I do not know enough about
- 3 this matter. I was called at the last minute, and Daniel
- 4 was fully briefed in this matter. I understand he did
- 5 the entire hearing yesterday. And I will have to stand
- 6 down. And I ask in lieu, just for the sake of procedural
- fairness, that Mr Kuksal, who is who he represents to
- 8 be the beneficial owner of the appellant, and has the
- 9 director's authorisation and has a direct interest
- 10 in - -
- 11 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Your client well knows that in matters of
- this nature that are before the court, where it's a
- 13 corporation, no step can be taken except by a solicitor.
- 14 A previous application made by your client to represent
- 15 the company was refused. There are no grounds before me
- as to why that should be altered now. You're the lawyer
- on the record.
- There was an opportunity to brief and you briefed
- 19 yesterday. You've come along today. There was an
- 20 opportunity to put in further affidavit material, which
- was not taken, or actually affidavit material at all.
- There was no affidavit material that was filed with this
- summons in support of this stay, and consequently there's
- 24 no evidence before the court.
- Now, I'm not sure if it is your client or exactly
- 26 what Mr Kuksal's role is. He holds authorisation, he
- says, on behalf of the director and that may well be the
- case, but you're the lawyer on the record.
- 29 MR RAGHAVAN: Yes, Registrar. I - -
- 30 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: It's an extraordinary situation - -
- 31 MR RAGHAVAN: I understand that - -

- 1 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - that in the midst of a hearing, your
- instructions are suddenly withdrawn, but what that means
- is that no step can now be taken by your client, because
- 4 they are not represented. So if that is the situation,
- 5 I'm not going to hear from Mr Kuksal and there is going
- to be no solicitor on the record, which means no step can
- 7 be taken by the company.
- 8 MR RAGHAVAN: I have instructed my client of the possible
- 9 consequences, but I reiterate I only received
- 10 instructions after five, quite late.
- 11 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I understand that. Mr Raghavan, you may
- well have been placed in an invidious position, but the
- fact of the matter is that your client has been
- 14 litigating in this court for some time and litigating in
- matters of this type before this court and is, or should
- be, well apprised of the Rules of the Supreme Court - -
- 17 MR KUKSAL: We did not know that you had scheduled a date for
- 18 today.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - and those rules require a summons to
- 20 be supported by an affidavit. The defect in this
- application is that there is no affidavit in support.
- The difficulty that you face is not one that I'm
- 23 unsympathetic to, but the reality is that the summons was
- 24 not filed in time to be served on the respondents. The
- 25 respondents - -
- 26 MR KUKSAL: We were only given the summons - -
- 27 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - were required to be given procedural
- 28 fairness - -
- 29 MR KUKSAL: We were only given the summons - -
- 30 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - and that required can you please
- 31 be quiet, Mr Kuksal.

- 1 MR KUKSAL: I'm sorry. He does not have instructions to
- 2 proceed. I don't know in what - -
- 3 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I'm speaking to - -
- 4 MR KUKSAL: - authority you're speaking with.
- 5 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I'm sorry?
- 6 MR KUKSAL: He does not have instructions.
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: I'm speaking to the court. On that basis,
- 8 the respondent sought time to consider material. They
- 9 have not been served with the affidavits in support of
- 10 this entire matter. There are no affidavits in support
- of the appeal, entirely appropriate and it was not
- opposed by your client yesterday that the matter be
- adjourned to today, and in fact it was agreed that that
- 14 would be an appropriate course, given the late filing of
- 15 the summons and they fact that they hadn't been served
- 16 with the affidavit material.
- Dr Trichardt gave an indication yesterday of the
- matters that he sought to obtain instructions about,
- which included whether the discretion of this court ought
- to be exercised in the granting of the stay in the
- absence of an affidavit, and specifically raised the fact
- that there was no affidavit material.
- 23 MR KUKSAL: Well - -
- 24 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: He further indicated that it was his view
- 25 that there ought not be an exercise of the discretion in
- your client's favour in these circumstances, and that all
- of the circumstances ought to be considered, and those
- are the very matters that he's brought before the court
- 29 today. So it just cannot be said that you lacked
- 30 opportunity.
- 31 Dr Trichardt managed to, being very late served with

- 1 the affidavit material, come up with responses, and in
- 2 any event, it's your application. Your bear all the
- 3 burden of making out the case. The problem that the
- 4 court is presented with is that complete lack of evidence
- 5 that would establish exceptional circumstances.
- 6 MR KUKSAL: I'm sorry. You gave them the argument today
- 7 that - -
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Because of that - -
- 9 MR KUKSAL: - because there was no natural person - -
- 10 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Sir, can you please (indistinct) - -
- 11 MR KUKSAL: I'm sorry. You can ask me to leave and I will
- 12 leave, but you - -
- 13 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr - -
- 14 MR KUKSAL: - gave the other side their argument today.
- 15 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal, you're very welcome to stay if
- 16 you can be quiet, but (indistinct) - -
- 17 MR KUKSAL: The other side have written submissions. It was
- not in their written submissions - -
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal, can you please be quiet.
- 20 MR KUKSAL: - that the entity was not a natural person.
- 21 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Can you - -
- 22 MR KUKSAL: You gave them that argument today.
- 23 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Can you please be quiet.
- 24 MR KUKSAL: When Daniel left - -
- 25 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal - -
- 26 MR KUKSAL: I would like to get this for the record, and then I
- 27 can be quiet. When Daniel left - -
- 28 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal, can you please be quiet.
- 29 MR KUKSAL: - it was agreed that there were exceptional
- 30 circumstances - -
- 31 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Nothing was agreed at all.

- 1 MR KUKSAL: You had clearly indicated that those - -
- 2 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Nothing. No.
- 3 MR KUKSAL: You gave the argument today that were not part of
- 4 their written submissions.
- 5 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal, you were not in court
- 6 yesterday.
- 7 MR KUKSAL: Today I have been in court.
- 8 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: You were not in court yesterday, and
- 9 Mr - -
- 10 MR KUKSAL: You gave them the argument. It's not part of their
- 11 written submissions and I think this is clearly - -
- 12 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Can I make it clear to you, Mr Kuksal, two
- things: one is you were not in court and you have
- misrepresented what occurred. I did not accept that
- there were exceptional circumstances. I
- 16 (indistinct) - -
- 17 MR KUKSAL: You indicated that you were very inclined to grant
- a stay, and the wording of it was to be agreed. Only on
- that basis was the adjournment accepted, because we - -
- 20 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: That is not a correct characterisation.
- 21 MR KUKSAL: That is the summary that I received from
- 22 Daniel - -
- 23 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: The second thing - -
- 24 MR KUKSAL: - and the transcript will show that.
- 25 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - that I want to make clear is that
- the argument about whether you're an individual person or
- 27 whether - -
- 28 MR KUKSAL: The entity is a natural person.
- 29 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - the entity is a natural person - -
- 30 MR KUKSAL: You gave them that argument today.
- 31 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - is not the basis upon which I'm

- forming any decision at all. The basis upon - -
- 2 MR KUKSAL: How can an entity dislodged from its form - -
- 3 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal - -
- 4 MR KUKSAL: - not incur - -
- 5 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Mr Kuksal - -
- 6 MR KUKSAL: - substantial losses?
- 7 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: All right. I'm going to ask you one more
- 8 time to be quiet, and if you cannot be quiet, I am going
- 9 to have to ask you to leave the court; okay?
- 10 MR KUKSAL: There is no point in me staying here.
- 11 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Can you be quiet?
- 12 MR KUKSAL: Would you allow me to address - -
- 13 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: No. I have already said no. Can you be
- 14 quiet?
- 15 MR KUKSAL: There is no point in my staying here.
- 16 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: All right. Then I'm going to have to ask
- 17 you to leave.
- 18 MR KUKSAL: That's fine. Thank you.
- 19 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Now, the basis upon which I am not
- granting a stay is that there is no evidence before the
- court to establish that there are exceptional
- 22 circumstances. You don't get over the first threshold,
- which is that there are special or exceptional
- 24 circumstances. So in that case, I am refusing the
- 25 application. I'll order that the appellant pay the costs
- of the respondents. Are there any other orders that
- should be made today?
- 28 DR TRICHARDT: Your Honour, I would just submit that the costs
- be paid immediately, because we don't want to stand over
- with the costs paid forthwith, and in light of the events
- of today, I would seek that the costs order be made on an

- 1 indemnity basis payable immediately.
- 2 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Well, I'll order that the costs of the
- 3 application are paid immediately, but I'll just order
- them on a standard basis - -4
- DR TRICHARDT: As the court please. 5
- JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: - to be taxed in default of agreement. 6
- 7 Anything else?
- DR TRICHARDT: No. I think that takes care of - -8
- 9 JUDICIAL REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you very much, Dr Trichardt.
- All right. I think that that concludes the hearing. We 10
- 11 can close the court.
- 12